



C++ for numerical computing - part 2

Rupert Nash

r.nash@epcc.ed.ac.uk



Recap



Iterators

```
std::vector<double> data = GetData(n);

// C style iteration - fully explicit
for (auto i=0; i != n; ++i) {
    data[i] *= 2;
}

// Old C++ style - hides some details
for (auto iter = data.begin(); iter != data.end(); ++iter) {
    *iter *= 2;
}

// New range-based for
for (auto& item : data) {
    item *= 2;
}
```



Templates in a bit more detail

Recall from the first C++ lecture

```
template<class T>
T sum(T a, T b) {
    return a+b;
}
```

We then used this without specifying, explicitly, what type T was - e.g.:

```
int x = 1, y = 2;
auto z = sum(x, y);
```

The compiler is doing template argument deduction.

This means it examines the types of the expressions given as arguments to the function and then tries to choose a T such that the type of the argument and the expected type match.



Templates in a bit more detail

Important to note that the template parameter `T` and the type of function arguments might be different (but related)

```
template<class T>
void f(T x);

template<class T>
void g(T& x);

template<class T>
void h(const T& x);
```



Templates in a bit more detail

Full rules are quite complex

See Meyer's Effective Modern C++ chapter 1 - free online

<https://www.safaribooksonline.com/library/view/effective-modern-c/9781491908419/ch01.html>

But usually you can ignore these and just think about:



1. Whether you want to copy the argument or not - if you don't want a copy add a reference &
2. Whether you can handle a const argument - if so add a const qualifier



Auto

The `auto` keyword follows very nearly the same rules as template argument deduction but can trip you up more easily. :(

Even more important to express your intent:

- Use `auto x` when you want to copy
- Use `auto &x` when you want a reference to original item and may modify it
- Use `auto const &x` when you want a reference to original item and will not modify it



Use the last whenever possible



Standard algorithms library



Standard algorithms library

The library includes many (around 100) function templates that implement algorithms, like "count the elements that match a criteria", or "divide the elements based on a condition".

These all use *iterators* to specify the data they will work on, e.g., count might use a vector's begin() and end() iterators.

```
#include <algorithm>
std::vector<int> data = Read();
int nzeros = std::count(data.begin(), data.end(),
                       0);

bool is_prime(int x);
int nprimes = std::count_if(data.begin(), data.end(),
                           is_prime);
```



Standard algorithms library

Possible implementation:

```
template<class InputIt, class UnaryPredicate>
intptr_t count_if(InputIt first, InputIt last,
                  UnaryPredicate p) {
    intptr_t ans = 0;
    for (; first != last; ++first) {
        if (p(*first)) {
            ans++;
        }
    }
    return ans;
}
```

(Unary \implies one argument, a predicate is a Boolean-valued function.)



Key algorithms

Algorithm	Description
<code>for_each</code>	Apply function to each element in the range.
<code>count/count_if</code>	Return number of elements matching.
<code>find/find_if</code>	Return iterator to first element matching or end if no match.
<code>copy/copy_if</code>	Copy input range (if predicate true) to destination
<code>transform</code>	Apply the function to input elements storing in destination (has overload work on two inputs at once)
<code>swap</code>	Swap two values - used widely! You may wish to provide a way to make your types swappable (see cppreference.com)
<code>sort</code>	Sort elements in ascending order using either <code>operator<</code> or the binary predicate provided
<code>lower_bound/upper_bound</code>	Given a <i>sorted</i> range, do a binary search for value.



for_each

One of the simplest algorithms: apply a function to every element in a range.

```
template< class InputIt, class UnaryFunction >
UnaryFunction for_each(InputIt first,
                      InputIt last,
                      UnaryFunction f);
```

Why bother?

- Clearly states your intent
- Cannot get an off-by-one errors / skip elements
- Works well with other range-based algorithms
- Concise if your operation is already in a function

However often a range-based for loop is better!



Many ways to iterate

```
// C style iteration - fully explicit
for (auto i=0; i != n; ++i) {
    data[i] *= 2;
}

// Old C++ style - hides some details
for (auto iter = data.begin(); iter != data.end(); ++iter) {
    *iter *= 2;
}

// New range-based for
for (auto& item : data) {
    item *= 2;
}

// Algorithms library
std::for_each(data.begin(), data.end(),
              double_in_place);
```



transform

A very powerful function with two variants: one takes a single range, applies a function to each element, and stores the result in an output iterator.

```
template<class InputIt, class OutputIt,
         class UnaryOperation >
OutputIt transform(InputIt first1, InputIt last1,
                  OutputIt d_first,
                  UnaryOperation unary_op );
```

This is basically the equivalent of `map` from functional programming.

```
std::vector<float> data = GetData();
std::transform(data.begin(), data.end(),
              data.begin(), double_in_place);
```

You can use your input as the output.



Motivation

Implementations have been written *and tested* by your compiler authors.

The library may be able to do platform-specific optimizations that you probably don't want to maintain.

They form a language to communicate with other programmers what your code is really doing.

```
for (auto it = images.begin();
      it != images.end(); ++it) {
    if (ContainsCat(*it)) {
        catpics.push_back(*it);
    }
}
```

VS

```
std::copy_if(images.begin(), images.end(),
             ContainsCat, std::back_inserter(catpics));
```



Lambda functions



Algorithms need functions

Very many of the algorithms just discussed need you to provide a function-like object as an argument for them to use.

If you have to declare a new function for a one-off use in an algorithm call that is inconvenient and moves the code away from its use site.

Worse would be to have to create a custom functor each time.



A verbose example

```
struct SquareAndAddConstF {
    const float c;
    SquareAndAddConstF(float c_) : c(c_) {}

    float operator()(float x) {
        return x*x + c;
    }
};

std::vector<float> SquareAndAddConst(const std::vector<float>& x, float c) {
    std::vector<float> ans;
    ans.resize(x.size());

    std::transform(x.begin(), x.end(), ans.begin(),
                  SquareAndAddConst(c));
    return ans;
}
```



Lambdas to the rescue

- A lambda function a.k.a. a closure is a function object that does not have a name like the functions you have seen so far.
- You can define one inside a function body
- You can bind them to a variable, call them and pass them to other functions.
- They can capture local variables (either by value or reference).
- They have a unique, unknown type so you may have to use auto or pass them straight to a template argument.



A less verbose example

```
std::vector<float> SquareAndAddConst(const std::vector<float>& x, float c) {
    std::vector<float> ans;
    ans.resize(x.size());
    auto func = [c](double z) { return z*z + c; };
    std::transform(x.begin(), x.end(), ans.begin(),
                  func);
    return ans;
}
```



A less less verbose example

```
std::vector<float> SquareAndAddConst(const std::vector<float>& x, float c) {
    std::vector<float> ans;
    ans.resize(x.size());
    std::transform(x.begin(), x.end(), ans.begin(),
                  [c](double z) { return z*z + c; });
    return ans;
}
```



Anatomy of a lambda

[captures](arg-list) -> ret-type {function-body}

[...] new syntax that indicates this is a lambda expression

arg-list exactly as normal

function-
body zero or more statements as normal

-> ret-
type new C++11 syntax to specify the return type of a function - can be
skipped if return type is void or function body is only a single
return statement.

captures zero or more comma separated captures

You can capture a value by copy (just put its name: local) or by reference (put an ampersand before its name: &local).



Anatomy of a lambda

```
[captures](arg-list) -> ret-type {function-body}
```

This creates a function object of a unique unnamed type (hence you must use `auto` to store it in a local variable).

You can call this like any object that overloads `operator()`:

```
std::cout << "3^2 +c = " << func(3) << std::endl;
```

Note that because it does not have a name, it cannot take part in overload resolution.



Quick Quiz

What does the following do?

```
[](){}();
```



Quick Quiz

What does the following do?

```
[](){}();
```

Nothing

Uses

- STL algorithms library (or similar) - pass small one-off pieces of code in freely

```
std::sort(molecules.begin(), molecules.end(),
    [](const Mol& a, const Mol& b) {
    return a.charge < b.charge;
});
```

- To do complex initialisation on something, especially if it should be **const**.

```
const auto rands = [&size] -> std::vector<float> {
    std::vector<float> ans(size);
    for (auto& el: ans) {
        el = GetRandomNumber();
    }
    return ans;
}(); // Note parens to call!
```

Rules of thumb

Be careful with what you capture!



If your lambda is used locally - including passed to algorithms - capture by reference. This ensures there are no copies and ensures any changes made propagate.



If you use the lambda elsewhere, especially if you return it, capture by value. Recall references to locals are invalid after the function returns! References to other objects may still be valid but hard to keep track of.



Keep lambdas short - more than 10 lines you should think about moving it to a function/functor instead.



Traits



Type traits

- Important C++ generic programming technique, used across the standard library
- The "if-then-else" of types
- Provide a template class that has typedefs/member functions that specify the configurable parts
- Your generic algorithm then uses this class, specialised on the type it is working on, to select behaviour
- You do not have to change the source of either the algorithm or the working type



STL traits

Several headers contain these:

- The header `<type_traits>` has lots of information for handling types. E.g. `std::is_pointer<int>::value` would be false.
- `std::numeric_limits<T>` gives lots of parameters for the built in number types, such as largest and smallest values, whether they are integer or floating types, etc.

Other traits are used everywhere behind the scenes for efficiency.

Real example

Suppose you are writing a wrapper around MPI to allow use without having to always say `MPI_FLOAT` - since the compiler knows the types already!

```
class Comm {
public:
    template <class T>
    void send(const std::vector<T>& data,
              int dest, int tag);
};

auto& world = Mpi::CommWorld();
std::vector<int> data = {2, 4, 6, 8};

world.send(data, other_rank, tag);
```

Real example

Simplified implementation:

```
template <class T>
void Comm::send(const std::vector<T>& data, int dest, int tag) {
    MPI_Send(reinterpret_cast<void*>(data.data()), data.size(),
             DATATYPE,
             dest, tag, m_comm);
}
```

Real example

Simplified implementation:

```
template <class T>
void Comm::send(const std::vector<T>& data, int dest, int tag) {
    MPI_Send(reinterpret_cast<void*>(data.data()), data.size(),
             DATATYPE,
             dest, tag, m_comm);
}
```

How to fill in the right type?

For the types in the standard, we could provide a specialisation for each one:

```
template <>
void Comm::send<int>(const std::vector<int>& data, int dest, int tag) {
    MPI_Send(reinterpret_cast<void*>(data.data()), data.size(),
             MPI_INT,
             dest, tag, m_comm);
}
```



Real example

But there are lots of types (say M) and lots of MPI calls (say N) to be wrapped, so we have to write $M * N$ specialisations...

Also how to add any custom types? User would have to mess around with our library.



Real example

Create a traits class that tells our functions how to handle different types:

```
template <class T>
struct DataTypeTraits {
    // Don't provide a default definition!
    static MPI_Datatype Get();
};

template <class T>
void Comm::send(const std::vector<T>& data, int dest, int tag) {
    MPI_Send(reinterpret_cast<void*>(data.data()), data.size(),
             DataTypeTraits<T>::Get(),
             dest, tag, m_comm);
}
```

Real example

Then we can then provide a specialised definition for all the types we can handle:

```
template<>
MPI_Datatype DataTypeTraits<int>::Get() {
    return MPI_INT;
}

template<>
MPI_Datatype DataTypeTraits<float>::Get() {
    return MPI_FLOAT;
}

// etc
```

If we try to communicate a data type we haven't specialised for, we will get a compile time error!